

1 reason, instead of manner?

2 MR. HAILE: Would you strike out the word,
3 other, and say, Or in any manner, nor in any manner be
4 deprived of the equal protection under law?

5 DR. JENKINS: I would leave that to the lawyers.

6 MR. SCANLAN: I would hate to see the great
7 equal protection question come last.

8 MR. CLAGETT: It is repetition.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: We are not getting a record.
10 Judge Adkins?

11 JUDGE ADKINS: I simply want to say, if you
12 broaden it beyond what we have done, you open a lot of
13 doors. For example, would this mean that a person over
14 65 could not be given two tax exemptions, as now is in
15 the law? I think a very strong argument could be made
16 it would be unconstitutional to provide a person over 65
17 would be entitled to 1200 instead of 600. I think it would
18 also be in conflict with the provision that a person who
19 has been convicted of a crime can't vote. I think there
20 are lots of areas, if you make it that sweeping, that you
21 open Pandora's box. If you limit it to the original