

1 brought up. I am certain that some proportion of the
2 electorate would object to this, but as in other areas,
3 I think we have to do on the matter of broad principle
4 what we think should be done.

5 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think there is the
6 slightest question about that, Dr. Jenkins, and I think
7 if you put that proposition to the vote of this Commis-
8 sion, it would pass unanimously. Judge Adkins?

9 JUDGE ADKINS: In answer to your comment,
10 Mr. Chairman, it seems to me that the best answer to your
11 suggestion is the fact that the language which is proposed
12 by the Committee is by no means new language. It has been
13 inherent basically in the Federal Constitution since the
14 Federal Constitution was first drafted, and yet it did
15 not do the job that it was intended to do; at least, for
16 150 years, it didn't do the job. Having failed to do the
17 job there in terms of the broad sweeping language, there
18 is no reason to presuppose it will do the job here,
19 except by virtue of the change in the political and
20 social climate. That being true, it seems to me not un-
21 reasonable to recognize the change in the political and