

1 in what Dr. Jenkins says, that in the light of recent
2 historical developments and social change, that it is
3 pertinent to take cognizance of the fact that we are
4 affirming the right of all people to be free of discrim-
5 ation by State action or Federal action.

6 My difficulty lies in the fact that the first
7 sentence to me seems to be so completely sweeping and
8 broad in scope and all-encompassing that I think it not
9 only accomplishes the purpose that all of us want to
10 accomplish, but does it better because it does it simply
11 and does it quite emphatically.

12 I can think of other statuses that could
13 cause discrimination that we want to prevent just as
14 much as the things mentioned, sex, age, social status,
15 education, any number of variables. I think that the
16 Committee in the simple language of the first sentence
17 has made a sweeping affirmation of the principle that is
18 stated there -- No person shall be deprived of life,
19 liberty or property without due process of law nor shall
20 any person be denied the equal protection of laws.

21 Is there any further discussion?