

1 DR. BURDETTE: This was really my question.
2 I had wondered if one could work out language including but
3 not limited to.

4 THE CHAIRMAN: One could, but I don't know
5 that it would hurt matters. Mr. Bond?

6 MR. BOND: Upon hearing this debate, I can't
7 help but feel that the original language, which is stronger
8 and does more what Dr. Jenkins wants than the language
9 proposed, and I can't help but recall the discussion
10 yesterday on the free exercise of religion where this
11 Commission gave free exercise and left out any restric-
12 tive language as to abuse of exercise, that would infringe
13 on others, et cetera. It seems to me that the Commission
14 leaving that language out perhaps did the right thing,
15 because they left it up to events as they occur and the
16 good order of the State, and there was no limiting
17 language. Here I really feel that the language proposed
18 is editorially good. It certainly is good as far as
19 speaking with the times. Certainly, none of us wants to
20 discriminate because of race, creed or color, but I feel
21 the original language goes further to embody the broad