

1 bother me a little bit would be whether civil or
2 political rights would somehow get into the question of
3 private action, which I don't think anyone here is arguing
4 should be included in any ban such as this.

5 JUDGE ADKINS: Would that question be
6 answered if the word civil were stricken out and it were
7 to read, Discrimination in exercise of political rights.
8 Leave out the words, civil or.

9 MR. MARTINEAU: I am not sure that is broad
10 enough.

11 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the difficulty comes
12 in by reason of the fact that if you use Connecticut
13 language, you would, in effect, be saying no person
14 shall be subjected to segregation or discrimination,
15 without saying subjected by law. In other words, it
16 would appear to be irrespective of law, and therefore
17 to embrace private action.

18 MR. MARTINEAU: I would say it should be, No
19 person shall be subjected to segregation or discrimina-
20 tion by the State, or by the State agency, to make it
21 clear that is what we are talking about. I think if you