exhortations out, that it ought to be a civil constitution and leave God to the religious authorities and the people.

MR. CLAGETT: Schowgurow does not go to the freedom of the individual. It goes only to the establishment by the --

MRS. BOTHE: Schowgurow -- actually. Article 36 was an attempt to liberalize, to make it possible for anybody to serve as a juror as long as he believed in some God, and this is the basis on which the whole jury system of Maryland was struck down, and it was our forefathers who gave religious freedom and liberality, and I think we are looking for trouble when in the Constitution in any way or inference we exhort people to believe in God, whatever God it might be or whether it is the table. It is inappropriate, and I think the Legislature should be restricted as it is federally and as it is under the State Constitution from enacting any laws abridging freedom of religion or doing any affirmative or negative act which restricts people in their religious belief; and that is as far as it ought to go. All this godliness which was that present in 1867/has caused so many dissensions should be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21