1 2

2 3

4

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

\_\_

21

effect of so modifying the first motion. Any further discussion of this question?

JUDGE ADKINS: I have one suggestion with regard to one. I object to the word security.

You don't conceive it as a function of government to provide for the security of the people. I think it is a function of government to provide for liberty, benefit, and protection. The word security is a new word in this Constitution. I don't think it should be here. It involves a paternalistic approach which I don't think ought to be recognized constitutionally.

MR. CLAGETT: I see the Eastern Shore cropping up here. Medicare, welfare, unemployment insurance -- you can't get around them all.

JUDGE ADKINS: Don't have to write them in the Constitution.

DR. BARD: I want to let the Judge know security was present and if he turns to Page 3, he will note that it was. It refers to safety rather than --

JUDGE ADKINS: That is using it as a different

word.