

1 insofar as it is compatible with the rights that the
2 Committee has expressed, I think, the old language ought
3 to be used, not the new.

4 MR. CLAGETT: My idea is compatible with
5 that.

6 THE CHAIRMAN: Would your motion be perhaps
7 to substitute the first clause of the present Article 1
8 leaving out the second clause?

9 MR. CASE: Mr. Chairman, I again say that to
10 go down this thing and try to pick out the philosophy
11 of which clause ought to be where and dove-tail with what
12 section of the Committee's report is impossible to sit
13 here and do that. If this motion loses, as it may very
14 well lose, then I would move that the philosophy of
15 Section 1 be accepted by the Commission but that it
16 be returned to the Committee to phrase it in the
17 language of the present Declaration of Rights insofar as
18 that is possible.

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me suggest to you, Mr. Case,
20 there are two philosophies in two clauses of Article 1.
21 Not necessarily the same. If you want a vote on the