

1 predicated upon the assumption that the people have the
2 right to change the form of government by revolution
3 by forcible means and that is completely irreconcilable,
4 I would think, with the concept of Section 1. I take
5 it that in voting on this question of substitution of
6 Article 1 for Section 1 we are not so much voting on
7 language at the moment as you are voting on the principle
8 of whether you want the Declaration of Rights to preserve
9 the right of forcible revolution or whether you do not.
10 I take it, Mr. Case's position is that he wants the
11 Declaration of Rights to preserve that right.

12 MR. CLAGETT: I had no difficulty in voting
13 against the substitution of 1 and 6 for the rewrite but
14 I feel that I am getting sort of pushed out on the
15 end of the diving board where I am not voting for what
16 I want to vote on which is why I raise the question.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me high-light my answer
18 to you again. I see nothing in conflict between
19 Section 1 and Article 4, for instance. You could
20 incorporate the language of Article 4 which is traditional
21 for Marylanders in this Declaration of Rights without