

1 I would like to ask the Chairman this
2 question. Since he has had the opportunity to review
3 the eleven new sections against the 45 old sections,
4 whether he was able at that time and as a result of that
5 study to reconcile the two approaches that are manifest
6 here and come up with something that does sort of fall
7 in between where you do retain some of the traditional
8 without being a piece of furniture in a modern apartment
9 that was picked up in England 500 years ago.

10 THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is possible in
11 some instances to incorporate ideas from both more than
12 is done in this draft. Some of the ideas are completely
13 and wholly irreconcilable. Mr. Case's motion to
14 substitute Article 1 for Section 1 and, I take it, a
15 similar motion for Article 6, presents a matter of
16 principle which you could state succinctly as to this,
17 which is whether the Declaration of Rights should con-
18 tain a recital of the right of revolution by forcible
19 means, if I may use that term, as opposed to change of
20 the form of Government by constitutional means.

21 Certainly Article 1 and Article 6 are