We have this argument.

 MR. CASE: That is why I thought the whole thing could be obviated by sending it back to them and letting them bring in something.

MR. CLAGETT: I have no difficulty in voting against the substitution of the present Article 1 for the new section because I do think that it has been made clear that the philosophy of government has changed from that day to the present. Certainly now the attitude of all people should be peaceful rather than violent. This does seem to give an endorsement to violence. Contrary to what I say now is ingrained.

I have great difficulty with the fundamental propositions that have been raised by Mr. Case where he says that really by adopting the eleven sections (o) which expose the new Declaration of Rights we are abandoning historic language and we are abandoning mile posts which are distinctive of our whole state as distinguished from those of Alaska and Hawaii and some of the newer ones as well as some of those that may be

in between.