

1 from its basic statement as to what it conceives the
2 Declaration of Rights to be and by introducing this
3 type of language into the Declaration of Rights, which
4 we, I think, thought would be in a preamble or perhaps
5 divided the Declaration of Rights into two different
6 statements, one a declaration of principles of
7 government and another one a declaration of individual
8 rights, perhaps the way it should have been done. I
9 am wondering why this was done here introducing this
10 sort of statement where the basic idea was not to have
11 this kind of statement in the Declaration of Rights.

12 PROFESSOR ASPER: We offered sort of excuse
13 for that, perhaps put it in the wrong place. In the
14 second part of the comments, we compare it with the
15 present Declaration.

16 MR. GENTRY: Page 18.

17 PROFESSOR ASPER: We conceded this is not
18 consistent with the approach suggested here but it
19 seems to be a tradition of state constitutional
20 conventions that lead off with a statement of principles.
21 We thought one statement rather than four would be