which requires consideration of the budget first; but do you mean what it seems to imply, namely that the legislature can amend upwards as well as reduce the Governor's budget?

MR. LAUBER: Yes. I think it would be beneficial to have a provision that any increases in the budget be separately stated and subject to independent veto by the Governor, but I would see nothing wrong with this.

For example, suppose the Governor put in a budget and the legislature wanted to increase State aid for education and they were willing to give up the highway program to do it. I see nothing wrong with them cutting out the highway program and increasing the State aid to education, passing a law implement.

One other technical thing, and I may not have all my facts straight on this; I understand that money is carried in the budget in Maryland even for special bills. In other words, if a special bill is passed incurring an expenditure that the appropriation is not usually in the bill because of the requirement that the bill must also carry a revenue to be carried into effect. In my days of coordinating legislation for the New York Budget Office, I