record, mainly based upon his budget decisions through the years, such as, what did you do, how well did you do it and how much in tax dollars was required to perform the task.

Now, in my opinion, and I've given this a fair amount of thought. I think the Governor should appoint an executive officer as a senior cabinet official, with the Budget Office reporting directly to him. He should exercise the final budget control for the Governor and the departments of should report to the Governor through him. We are familiar with the concept of City managers and County managers. What I am really advocating is a State manager except with one very important difference. I think the position must be politically sensitive. It must serve at the pleasure of the Governor, for two reasons. First, because the Governor will be held responsible for the record of his administration and that record will largely be determined by the policies and programs set by the executive officer; and second, I think in order to perform his function, this position has to be close to the Governor and have his complete confidence and work closely with him. In order to have that confidence I think it would almost be impossible to have that type

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21