

1 by the inflexibility. It has been up to the Legislature,  
2 I think by and large the Legislature has been responsible  
3 in this area. So, I would prefer to see the subdivisions  
4 still have to obtain authority for financial matters, such  
5 as levying of taxes, certain types of taxes, from the  
6 State Legislature.

7 I think it is bad to try to get this into a  
8 constitution. It provides inflexibility which we do not  
9 want. I think, on the other hand, subdivisions should  
10 have the right to issue their own bonds and not have to  
11 troop down to the Legislature to get authority every year;  
12 but, again, the Legislature may retain the authority to  
13 step in the counties and cities that went amuck and this  
14 is perhaps what they have under municipal home rule in  
15 one of the proposals in the code for home rule.

16 The last question; I don't know. Any time you  
17 have to treat all units of government, you have trouble  
18 getting "ripper" bills through the Legislature. Just  
19 generally, they are introduced on the instance of one or  
20 two members of the Legislature and they can be offset by  
21 other processes. If you are interested in this, I might