

1 has to be a constitutional limitation rather than just
2 a statutory limitation? I would visualize or I would
3 hope, as Mr. Hardwicke said, that this Constitution
4 would be drawn and would last for quite a long time,
5 that we're spending a lot of time on it now and that
6 it would be good for a number of years. Why could it
7 not be a statutory provision that could be modified
8 easily so with any change in the State makeup.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: You mean the length of the
10 sessions?

11 DELEGATE KIRCHER: Length of the session,
12 limitation as to number of days, rather than being a
13 specific constitutional provision. It would have to
14 go all the way through a constitutional amendment, say
15 10 or 15 years from now when possibly, as Mr. Hardwicke
16 pointed out, we may go into a different form of govern-
17 ment.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: If you were writing the
19 Constitution, how would you write this particular clause?

20 DELEGATE KIRCHER: That this would be pro-
21 vided by law, the same as the salaries.