

1 matter of size. Our Committee has given it a good deal
2 of attention and, as you know, the size varies through-
3 out the country from bodies well over 400, in some cases,
4 to some bodies that are rather small, 50, 75. Would you
5 want to comment on what you would consider to be an
6 ideal size under either circumstance, unicameral or
7 bicameral? If you move to that question now, we will
8 come back to the other later.

9 DELEGATE MANDEL: I don't think the size of
10 the Senate is unwieldy, as it is right now. I think --

11 SENATOR JAMES: You mean 29 or 43?

12 DELEGATE MANDEL: The 43 will be. I don't
13 think this is particularly unwieldy, but I think the 142-
14 member House is a little too big.

15 THE CHAIRMAN: What would you suggest as to --

16 DELEGATE MANDEL: I think if you had 100
17 members of the House and 43 senators, or even 50 senators,
18 I wouldn't think that this would be out of line, but I
19 think that the 100-man House would certainly serve --

20 SENATOR JAMES: Senator Hughes wants to know
21 if you will go for 53?