inalienable rights among which are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. That, of course, was deleted in the Constitution of 1867.

I guess the history is self-explanatory as to why this was included perhaps at that time. Yet, I am not too sure, but I think it bears on this particular point of your asking the question of whether the Constitution and the Bill of Rights should contain certain aspirations, certain firm beliefs which are inherent and have grown out of the lives and history of the people in the United States and became the purpose of including them in the Bill of Rights of the Federal Constitution and, of course, inclusion from time to time of these rights in the State Constitution.

Then with respect to the matter restricting the State with respect to individuals, I think that it should contain that, but I also believe these aspirations, these firm beliefs the people have, I think this has some weight with the people themselves in the application of the total outlook on the Constitution. Perhaps it might have some effect in the judicial process. The judges

Ħ