are not willing to say that there is a place in there for things that aren't perhaps strictly enforceable.

MR. SYKES: No. What we were doing in this statement was answering a contention that there is no point in putting into the Constitution of Maryland, in the Declaration of Rights, even, certain legally enforceable rights against the State, because they are binding on the State anyway, and the answer to that was that there is a psychological value in putting these legal rights, enforceable rights into the Constitution even though leaving them out might not affect the legal situation any differently than putting them in; but that is as far as the statement went.

It was talking about the educational value of a document that is limited to legally enforceable rights. Now, for one thing, these legally enforceable rights are very definite and relatively clear and not so subject to dispute and conflicting ideals that you might get into, if you try to write a general prospectus for the direction you would like to see the State go politically, morally, ethically and everything else.

.16