1 2

3

5 6

7 8

10 11

12

13

14 15

> 16 17

> > 18 19

20

21

Baltimore 2, Maryland

PROFESSOR ROSEN: Not enforceable at law?

I think it's clear on the

Perhaps not strictly enforceable MR. GENTRY: at law.

distinguished from an over-all, broad guide to --

PROFESSOR ROSEN:

surface of the ACLU's position that it wants a Declaration of Rights that is enforceable at law and subject to the creative processes of interpretation under the judiciary.

MR. GENTRY: I'm not sure that is possible.

You say you want it strictly enforceable by law, and yet you are dependent upon the judicial processes to add something to it through, you say interpretation. it more to be simple adding than interpretation.

PROFESSOR ROSEN: That is a point that we could review all night, but I would prefer to view it as a creative process interpreting the fundamental document of the State, as the Federal, as I see it, sees it as interpreting a fundamental document. We would hope the judiciary of the State would be charged with the creative process of interpreting and applying the Declaration of Rights, within the creative process of the judiciary.