in the material you presented and I wanted to particular-1 ly refer to it here. Although a portion of this article 2 has been partially, at least, invalidated by a recent 3 decision of the Supreme Court, I urge the retention of this article with the least possible change and that the 5 phrase: "Nor ought any person to be compelled to frequent 6 or maintain, or contribute, unless on contract, to main-7 tain, any place of worship, or any ministry," be retained 8 without change. 9

You were discussing this a while ago and it seems to me again, let me urge this, as others here have, you do not attempt to write a Constitution in specific detail. As Mr. Sartorius said, if you do, we're going to be faced with constitutional amendments at every whipstitch, if I may use that word. But even in the field of education, since our whole Declaration of Rights is based on English law, I think it can be argued, when it says any person ought to be free to administer -- in England, it's the ministry of education, and I wonder if this doesn't have significance to the very things you have been talking about here before. I am not a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21