in nature and terse, as you have described.

We want to subscribe to Article 3, support it

100 per cent, in that money appropriated for schools not
be diverted. Certainly, we want to keep that inviolate.

There is a tendency, and I'm not going to burden you with
examples, but there are occasions on a local level, and
I realize this involves State funds, but it is hard for
us to distinguish between State funds and local funds
when we get our money to support our budget from State
money and therefore it is pretty hard -- we don't keep
State funds as a separate account. It goes into our
support of general educational funds. So, we would like
to see that kept inviolate.

Certainly, we want to support Article 3,

Section 52, and the various subsections pointed out. You
can have a law -- we are operating where most of our
funds come to us as a guaranteed \$98 per pupil State
support. You can have that as a law and the legislature
passes it, but if our estimates, which are reviewed and
supported by the State budget that goes to Annapolis,
if the budget director or the Governor or somebody else