the credit setup, it's going to have some kind of effect,
and I agree we should have some clear appreciation of what
change -- and I am thinking in terms of specifics, what
change would actually come about and affect bond issues
of Prince Georges, if all of a sudden we took the metropolitan area around Washington and moved it over and consolidated it with the metropolitan area of Montgomery and
then took the remainder of Prince Georges and tied it into
Charles County.

It seems to me that you would get a right clear change in the credit in back of the bond issue, and certainly as to whether or not you would assign it to the metropolitan or to the rural division that I am speaking of there, or what exactly you would do with it, how you would handle that particular question.

MR. RATCHFORD: I think it is an interesting one, but I doubt if it is insurmeuntable. It wasn't when Nashville and Davidson Counties were consolidated. It was a problem, but they handled it because the city had bonds, the county had bonds and now they have one government responsible for both bonds. It would be a tricky one,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20