

1 that it gets away from the idea of personal popularity
2 and tries for personal evaluation. Of course, all of
3 those things are imperfect at best.

4 THE CHAIRMAN: Why wouldn't it be just as
5 effective in a non-competitive situation?

6 MR. WINTERS: I suppose it would.

7 MR. MARTINEAU: I do not see any reason for
8 it in a non-competitive election, because the issue is
9 not the same as the issue is in a competitive election,
10 you had to choose between two, and which one are you
11 going to vote for? One gets a 65 rating, the other one
12 gets a 75 rating, but in a non-competitive issue, the
13 simple issue is should he be retained in office? And
14 the judge in a straw poll can vote on that question for
15 any darn reason he pleases, but really the only relevant
16 question is, does the lawyer recommend that he be retained
17 in office or not? So, I do not know that there would be
18 any point in having this detailed questionnaire with the
19 weighted questions.

20 MRS. BOTHE: If you and Mr. Winters can give
21 me the time, there are a couple questions in an entirely