recommended scheme in your opinion?

MR. WINTERS: There are considerable variations among those. In Missouri they are equally divided between lawyers and laymen, with a judge as chairman. For the appellate court it is three and three. And for the trial courts, two and two. In that Denver County Court situation, there is a majority of laymen.

MRS. BOTHE: As far as the inclusion of a judge, that seems to be quite a controversial question, whether a judge would be an undue influence.

NR. WINTERS: Well, I heard that debated.

In my opinion, it is desirable to have at least one judge in on that, to begin with. It is desirable to have laymen, because if it is all lawyers or all lawyers and judges, there may be a tendency to overemphasize the technical qualifications of this person, to favor what they call the lawyer's lawyer. And the laymen on the commission will tend to provide a broader evaluation of his qualifications. An all-laymen commission, I have often thought might work out very well, because if they were the right kind of people, they would not attempt