in it and would like some of the states to experiment with it, if we wanted to have an impact upon legislature structure, we ought to talk about what most state legislatures have, the bicameral pattern, and that is why it finds its way into the footnote and does not reflect the change in the A.M.L. philosophy on this.

The second thing is if this Commission should adopt the unicameral legislature as a proposal or should adopt the bicameral legislature as a proposal, I think if it is going to make recommendations on the bicameral system, it should definitely make some strong recommendations as to the structure of that legislature.

In the model, we came out with a provision that we couldn't see any point in the upper house being more than about one-third the size of the lower house and we felt that if you were going to set up legislative districts, that the house districts couldn't in effect be put together then to conform with the senatorial districts, and there was no particular reason for tying these into twisting units of government which would or would not make any sense, as far as apportionment is concerned.