

1 advice, since I can't be held to task for this.

2 First of all, I feel quite firmly and quite  
3 strongly that the burden of proof in this field, in this  
4 whole question, lies upon the defenders of the bicameral  
5 system. If, indeed, as Dr. Graves has said, that there is  
6 nothing you can do with a unicameral that you can't do with  
7 a bicameral, it seems to me the obvious conclusion you  
8 use the simpler system.

9 Now, the strategic question of whether you can  
10 get this kind of thing sold to the people or not is  
11 another one. I guess I'm a one-houser. I don't know, I  
12 don't like the term unicameralist, but I'm a one-houser,  
13 because mainly I can't find one thing that satisfies me  
14 as a reasonable defense for the bicameral system other  
15 than the political considerations. It is interesting, too,  
16 I think, as we go back in history far enough, we find that  
17 the two-house legislature preceded the development of the  
18 legislature function, if I'm not mistaken here; that this  
19 thing originated essentially because the churchmen and the  
20 nobles wouldn't sit with the knights and the commoners  
21 when the king wanted to raise money, and this is before