determine, actually find out whether the improvements that
have occurred in the State of Nebraska would occur likewise in Pennsylvania or Michigan or New York or other
states.

period, the period we are in now which follows the Supreme Court decisions and all of the tremendous hullabaloo that we have been living through this problem of reapportionment. This has given new life, new vitality, and new interest to the problem of unicameralism. Well, as I say, in the past, I certainly have not been an ardent advocate of it. It seems to me that this situation, this current situation strengthens its claim to consideration for probably three reasons.

Well, now we come to what I call the fourth

we could have a different basis of representation. Well, theoretically, you still can, but the Court has told us that the main consideration has to be population and, if you use any other factors, they are used in a secondary way or in a way which does not interfere with the prevalence of the population concept of representation; and

We've always said that we have two houses, so