1	to equity.
s	The thing comes down therefore, it seems
3	to me, to the question of, it is the same question in
4	the city or county, that Melvin posed. It is simply
5	this. How is the best method of mechanically handling
6	the probate function that the court of general jurisdic-
7	tion ought to handle with a judge of the same general
8	qualifications, same tenure, same education, same
9	salary, same pension, same sverything, that the judge of
10	general trial jurisdiction has?
11	If you start with that premise, don't you
12	then end up only with the question of: Should you have
13	a separate deputy clerk handling probate matters?
14	Should you have separate judge or judges handling probate
15	matters and nothing else? Should they have separate
16	masters? And should you create within the court of
17	general jurisdiction a separate division that could
18	handle probate matters, and finally what is the best
19	mode or mechanics of handling the part of the probate
20	work you just mentioned, the contact with the public not

through a lawyer but the person who has a child who has

21