Another claim for unicameralism, of course,
it brings the lobbyist out in the open. We covered that.

MR. SCANLAN: How is that? I don't follow

that conclusion. Why does it bring them out in the open any more?

DR. MICHENER: The claim here is based on the reasoning that in two houses the legislator is subjected to influence from the lobbyists, again at the conference committee, and you do not know just why or how the

In a unicameral house, where the votes are

final version is arrived at.

on public record, demanding the votes for or against the amendment, and if he is voting consistently in accord with the wishes of the lobbyists, this will soon become apparent. This is not apparent in a bicameral legislature because there are too many ways to exert influence not out in the open. Even if you have open, recorded votes every day, you still have the possibility of prior arrangements, that the two chambers will vote different ways and you have to have something come out of conference. It may come out of conference in a fashion not passed exactly -- I should say

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21