it is more representative, you have a more representative system under unicameralism. There are some statistics on Nebraska. I don't know for certain -- I think they do, myself.

whether you have a more representative legislature if you have more members or fewer members. This is the basic question involved here and you can make arguments either way on that. We have already talked a little bit about the quality of the enactment of legislation, one of the claims made for unicameralism. I won't go into that any further.

You get into the argument here basically as to

Another claim that is made, and this one seems to be fairly factual, is that with unicameralism you have no legislator introducing bills just for the sake of pleasing a constituent with the full expectation it will never be considered or never considered in the other house. So that with unicameralism, there is a marked decrease in the number of bills and, of course, of the number that are finally enacted, the proportion is higher that finally became law.

5

6

7

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21