i i	
1	long as this constitutional prevision is in the law.
2	MR. BROOKS: I believe we found the practice
3	on March 10, 1966, before the Miscellaneous Provisions
4	Committee, Pages 113 to 114, could be to the contrary
5	with regard to the inviolability, in that, as inter-
6	preted previously, this Section 3 of Article 8,
7	inviolability of school funds, means that once the
8	money goes into the fund it has to be expended for the
9	purposes appropriated. On the other hand, we found
10	that
11	MR. CASE: Wno said that?
12	MR. BROOKS: This is Mr. Sartorius, and on
13	a prior occasion we reported conversations with Mr.
14	Perkins to our research staff.
15	MR. CASE: Well, that doesn't persuade me.
16	MR. BROOKS: We have evidence that the funds
17	revert back to the general fund of the State.
18	MR. CASE: Well, they do not revert back.
19	They never leave the general fund; that's the point.
20	MR. BROOKS: Well, let me quote then
21	MR. CASE: Well, Mr. Brooks, I don't care
	·

THE JACK SALOMON REFORTING SERVICE
102 Equitable Building
Court Reporters Building Buildings 2, Maryland