| 1 | University of Maryland could go to Welfare and so on. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Now, I submit that this is not possible with respect |
| 3 | to education funds because the Constitution says that |
| 4 | the fund shall be inviolate, and I do not see how the |
| 5 | legislature could pass a law which would say that this |
| 6 | reverter could be spun around into other areas of the |
| 7 | State government. |
| 8 | Is this the way you understand it, Dr. |
| 9 | Sensenbaugh? |
| 10 | DR. SENSENBAUGH: Yes, sir, exactly. |
| 11 | MRS. BOTHE: And that derives from Section 3 |
| 12 | of Article 8? |
| 13 | MR. CASE: From Section 3 of Article 8. |
| 14 | MRS. BOTHE: Because our Committee |
| 15 | MR. CASE: The one you wanted to repeal. |
| 16 | MRS. BOTHE: Our Committee, after some |
| 17 | research, has been under the impression that the school |
| 18 | fund referred to there is nonexistent, except for some |
| 19 | escheats and nominal amounts that are considered to be |
| 20 | the school fund. |
| 91 | Apparently, in a number of states there is |