words around here and this is actually what the man said -but with the bicameral legislature you can halt legislation where there is tremendous pressure for it and where
it would be impossible to defeat it outright; that it will
go between two houses and you have a chance to get further
consideration. The unicameralists say this is no argument for bicameralism at all, that if legislation is undesired and there is popular pressure for it, the proper
role of the legislature is to educate the people as to
why it is not desirable, not to kill it behind the scenes.

The other one here is an argument that you are going to have a dominant legislature that is going to take over the government and it is going to exert disproportionate power. This is an old historical argument. It is a fact that it has not proved this way and probably today it is strengthening the legislature, not breaking up its unity, so it cannot be on a level with the Governor. There is no danger today of any legislature overpowering the government.

The argument that is made quite often here and does have to be mentioned is the question of the lobby and