

1 it would revert.

2 I can foresee the possibility of interagency
3 play on funds, which could happen. We have been having
4 a little discussion with the Teachers Retirement Fund
5 and other departments.

6 MRS. BOTHE: Our interpretation of Section 3
7 of Article 8 would be that that provision would not
8 prevent such things happening, that the only real valid
9 actual protection existing is through Section 52 of
10 Article 3, and that this is surplusage that sounds
11 good. We hesitate to take it out because so many
12 educators seem to feel that it is psychologically or
13 sentimentally important, but we wondered if it had any
14 other --

15 DR. SENSENBAUGH: I think, to me, it means
16 keeping it for education, the funds that are set up for
17 education, and not --

18 MR. HOFF: You regard it as a restriction
19 upon the school authorities, then.

20 DR. SENSENBAUGH: Yes.

21 MR. CASE: Any other questions?