

1 are debaters' points.

2 DR. MICHENER: They basically provide a differ-  
3 ent philosophy on the two different systems. In this case,  
4 your point is valid.

5 MRS. FREEDLANDER: Mr. Chairman, isn't it true  
6 that the unicameral legislation also was accompanied by  
7 reference to the legislative council, which might have  
8 improved the law with fewer loopholes?

9 DR. MICHENER: They came in simultaneously and  
10 the question is, and it has been argued, how much is due  
11 to unicameralism, as such, and how much is due to the  
12 legislative council. This is mentioned, by the way --

13 MRS. FREEDLANDER: Yes.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: And of a nonpartisan nature;  
15 that's another thing. It's very difficult to cancel it  
16 out. I think that is a point well taken.

17 DR. MICHENER: Then the other argument made  
18 against the second chamber is that there is no need for  
19 review. The argument for review dates back historically  
20 to when we didn't have legislative councils; that we have  
21 bills drafted by legislators and by the executive department