second chamber does not provide a check. The second chamber will investigate only controversial measures, so that the unicameralists say, as it works, bicameral legislatures just do not provide the claimed review.

They go on to state that the review that has been done has not been proved to be worth much, that when you look at the legislation that has been turned down by the second chamber, that usually this is not legislation that can be factually shown to have been really desirable; in other words, if it had been enacted, there would have been no serious loss to the State.

Another statement was made in connection with Nebraska is that the legislation enacted by the unicameral legislature was actually of higher quality than was enacted by previous legislatures, as attested by how many of the statutes were declared unconstitutional or how many of the statutes were found to have legislative bugs or jokers. So, the argument is the check you get from a bicameral legislature is not a worthwhile check.

MR. SCANIAN: But, even that argument, that counter to the argument might not stand up. Over the