such a check, it is best secured through other constitutional provisions, not through a legislative check, as
such. If you want to have checks on expropriations, you
have these checks through the Governor's veto, by referendum; but to have a check in the legislature just to have
a check in the legislature is not something defensible in
theory.

MR. HARVEY: On that last paragraph, on Page 5, I think there is a misprint there, unicameralists instead of bicameralists.

DR. MICHENER: Yes. A more serious attack is made on what is now one of the prime defenses of bicameralism, that it gives an independent review of legislation.

The unicameralists assert first that this factually is not true, that in a bicameral legislature, as a matter of actual fact, legislation is introduced, it piles up at the end of the session and it is passed hastily, without any review; that one chamber will often pass legislation on the assumption that the second chamber will review it. Particularly with noncontroversial legislation, it is true, according to unicameralists, the