1

5

.6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

over for something else unless the other alternative is clearly demonstrated to be superior; in other words, you have a system that should be continued.

Now, as I mentioned before, these claims are generally made. There is no documentation for them that I have found.

Now, the unicameralists make their attack on three grounds. First, they deny practically every claim that is made for bicameralism. They make a plea for their own system on its merits and then they try to refute criticisms levied against the unicameral system.

On the argument that bicameralism provides a check on popular wishes and popular passions, the argument here is made on a philosophical ground, that a legislature is set up to represent the wishes of the majority of the population and a check on that wish, just to have a check, is undesirable; that this is undemocratic and that a check, as such, is not something we should want. A check, if it is to be there, should be to protect the interests of someone in the society, some vested rights -- I won't say vested rights -- some interests and, if we want to provide