

questing the Governor of this State, to direct the Attorney General and such other counsel as he may deem necessary, to appear for the persons so presented or hereafter to be presented, for any offence alleged to have been by them respectively committed at said Municipal or State election, to the end that the said persons may be defended in the said Court of the United States in which said presentments are made, and to the end that the constitutionality of the Acts of Congress, upon which the proceedings against them are based, may be tested in the said Court, and ultimately, if necessary, in the Supreme Court of the United States.

Which was read.

Pending which,

Mr. Vandiver demanded the previous question,

Which was not sustained.

The question recurring upon the adoption of the order,

Mr. McGlone demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Mattingly,	Onley,	Harig,
Boyer,	Boyle,	Albaugh,
Usilton,	Vandiver,	Sanders,
Robinson,	Culbreth,	Jones,
Rullman,	Goldsborough,	Rawlings,
Lambdin,	Rusk,	Atkinson,
Briscoe,	Berkemeier,	Sprigg,
Constable,	Lewis,	Brown,
Ward,	McWilliams,	Rinehart,
Snowden,	Hoblitzell,	Waters, of Car'l,
Hance,	McGlone,	Donaldson,
Gywnn,	Stewart,	Clark,
Cockey,	Gill,	Gordy,
Neal,	Loane,	Barnard—44.
Purnell,	Chaisty,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Dunbar,	Smith, of B. co.,	Waters, of Dor.
Lancaster,	Given,	Riggs,
Hawkins,	Fitzjarrell,	Hinks,
Hooper,	Dodson,	Naill,
Baldwin,	Lankford,	Scott,
Whitelock,	Ford,	Ranger—20.
Curtis,	Smith, of Dor.,	

So the order was adopted.