

Whitelock, Waters, of Dor., Farrow,
Curtis, Constable, Ranger,
Smith, of B. co. Onley, Donaldson—24.

So the motion for the previous question was sustained.

Mr. Whitelock moved that the House do now adjourn.

The question then recurring upon concurring in the motion,

Mr. Whitelock demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Turner,	Fitzjarrell,	Hinks,
Bird,	Dodson.	Naill,
Lancaster,	Lankford,	Koons,
Hooper,	Gunby,	Rutledge,
Baldwin,	Smith, of Dor.,	Scott,
Whitelock,	Waters, of Dor.,	Farrow,
Curtis,	Constable,	Ranger,
Smith, of B. co.,	Riggs,	Donaldson—25.
Given,		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Dunbar,	Neal,	Hess,
Mattingly,	Onley,	Harig,
Boyer,	Boyle,	Albaugh,
Usilton,	Vandiver,	Sanders,
Hodges,	Culbreth,	Jones,
Robinson,	Goldsborough,	Canby,
Rullman,	Rusk,	Atkinson,
Ford,	Berkemeier,	Sprigg,
Lambdin,	Lewis,	Brooke,
Briscoe,	McWilliams,	Brown,
Ward,	Hoblitzell,	Rinehart,
Snowden,	Coburn,	Clark,
Hance,	McAleese,	Dashiell,
Gwynn,	Hart,	Browning—44.
Cockey,	Chaisty,	

So the motion to adjourn was decided in the negative.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the report of the Committee of Conference as submitted by Mr. Clark,

Mr. McGlone moved the previous question.

The question then being,

“Shall the main question be now put?”