

So two-thirds of all the members present having voted in the affirmative, the motion was sustained, and Mr. Constable was allowed to proceed.

At the conclusion of his remarks,

Mr. Lancaster moved that the House do now adjourn,

Decided in the negative.

The question then recurring upon concurring in the motion to reconsider as submitted by Mr. Barnard,

Mr. Lancaster moved to lay the motion on the table,

The question recurring upon concurring in the motion,

Mr. Lancaster demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Mattingly,	Waters, of Dor.,	Canby,
Boyer,	Snowden,	Rawlings,
Usilton,	Cockey,	Sprigg,
Rullman,	Neal,	Brooke,
Turner,	Berkemeier,	Brown,
Lancaster,	Lewis,	Rinehart,
Hooper,	Hoblitzell,	Lamotte,
Baldwin,	Gill,	Waters, of Car'l.
Whitelock,	Chaisty,	Clark,
Dodson,	Scott,	Dashiell,
Gunby,	Ranger,	Browning—35.
Smith, of Dor.,	Jones,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Dunbar,	Constable,	Vandiver,
Hodges,	Ward,	Culbreth,
Robinson,	Hance,	Rusk,
Curtis,	Gwynn,	McGlone,
Smith, of B. co.,	Purnell,	Stewart,
Given,	Onley,	McAleese,
Fitzjarrell,	Riggs,	Fenton,
Lankford,	Hinks,	Atkinson,
Ford,	Koons,	Donaldson,
Lambdin,	Rutledge,	Gordy,
Briscoe,	Boyle,	Barnard—33.

So the motion prevailed.

And the motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The Secretary of the Senate delivered the following bill:

A bill entitled an Act to repeal Section 1, of chapter 388, of the Acts of 1874, entitled an Act for the protection of