

Harris' over Warfield, 16; and there are no complaints. In the 4th the Democratic vote rises from 445 to 570, while Harris only gains 5.

Round and Tansley, the excess of ballots over names, and the presence of 174 unfolded Democratic tickets, explain the mystery.

In the 2d, 3d and 4th precincts of the 11th Ward, the aggregate vote for Mayor was 1,720; that for Governor, 1,822; and the increase was divided between the parties in the proportion of 38 Reformers and 64 Democratic tickets, showing a very slight change of vote, but in the 1st precinct of the same Ward, while the entire vote increased from 389 to 437. Mr. Carroll gained 67, and Mr. Harris loses 19. What should cause the voters of this precinct (a purely arbitrary division of the Ward) to change their vote so materially, while their neighbors of the 2nd, 3rd and 4th precincts felt and voted nearly as they had done five days previously? The answer is found in the testimony of Hopkins, Hardesty and Patterson. The 19 votes lost by Mr. Harris were those of negroes intimidated, and the 67 votes gained by Mr. Carroll were supplied by the repeaters whose unblushing and unrebuked activity caused the Reform clerk to offer to write down any majority desired by the Democratic Judges. It will be noted that the judge who acted at the municipal election to the satisfaction of all parties had been removed and replaced by one whom the respondents declined to examine, although they made all the other officials testify.

In the 12th Ward we have in the 1st precinct 64 more votes than names on the poll books; in the 2nd, 277; in the 3rd, 23; in the 4th, 177, an aggregate of 541 fraudulent ballots. In this connection see the testimony of Pierce, King, Horner, Coffroth and Edwards.

But to demonstrate the fraud, compare the figures in the first three precincts. The returns at the municipal election show 1,464 votes cast, the poll books at the State election, 1,476. The Reform vote at the two elections was 775 and 743, respectively, and yet the Democratic vote rose in the returns from 689 to 1,079, join to this that in the 2nd precinct the Democratic Judge was seen to stuff the ballot box, and all the judges had been changed between the two elections, and that there were counted in the returns of the third precinct, 295 pudding and unfolded Democratic tickets, and no one can question that in these precincts the Reform majority was overcome by fraud.

In the third precinct indeed the proof of fraud is literally a matter of arithmetical demonstration. At the Municipal election, 273 ballots were polled, Latrobe having 124, War-