

Laws truly pay or cause to be paid unto the above named Wm Hobson his certain Attorney, Exectutor or Assignee his full sum  
of £ 300 hundred & 6 shillings & one pound of tare D-<sup>r</sup> at or upon the first day of October next ensuing the date hereof at some  
convenient place in Newgate London, without fraud or further delay, & then this Obligation to be void & of none effect, or  
else to stand in full force & binding.

William W Lawrence Esq: sealed  
mark.

Walter Lane.

Edmund Howard.

My witness of record was witness as follows: (viz) Hobomby 18. 1681

Recd of William Lawrence three hundred & six shillings pounds of D-<sup>r</sup> of this Bill written mentioned  
say recd by me Oliver Derry.

Recd of Wm. Lawrence to Barrell & Half Corn for use of Cott Hobson by me.

Hobomby 19. 1684:

Wm. Johnson.

The sum being Considered by Wm. Cott, do find and gallanised, no sum of sum found

Pmt. Whitington & Rich. Chambers Dts. Sonoma County 11/

Jonathan Cott & Margaret his wife recd

Exect of Wm. Gall late of this County Shoemaker

was attached to answr unto And. Whitington & the

Camb. County Gun holder & Richard Chambers Blacksmith in a pba of £ 62.  
And whereupon the pba by their Attorney James Sneyder Esq: says, that the said Jonathan by his intermarriage with  
said Margaret his wife & whist & Exect of the said Gall defrauded is become & stands justly indebt unto the pba in  
full & just sum of two thousand eight hundred & forty four pounds of good sound Merchantable tobacco, as debt and no  
appear by a certain Instrument under the hand & seal of the said Gall defrauded, where said sum of 2864 £ of tobacco  
being two thirds of the whole sum of 4295 £ of tobacco mentioned in the said Instrument & his Right of the said  
pba according to the tenor of the same where it herein Complained where said sum of 2864 £ of tobacco  
said William Gall in his life time hath not paid nor is Jonathan or Margaret his wife & wife to do so before the said  
the said Gall deceased. And the said Sneyder since his death failing in the paymt or manner of paymt specified  
in the said Instrument as will more plainly appear, recourse thereto had but fraudulently & craftily intermingling w/  
the pba the pba of the same have either to demand paymt. or yett doff de my, taught of you to do unto me as you would do  
say they are damaged & have lost to value of 4295 £ of tobacco, & have upon bringing this suit.

Sneyder vs Rich. Chambers

{ Jr. Do: }  
& Rich. Do: }

And the D-<sup>r</sup> by his Attorney Sam. Alexander, says that by his intermarriage he is not bound in any  
said Andrew S. Alexander, for he was never Exect nor old man of the said Gall, nor never was performed  
Estate of the D-<sup>r</sup> Gall, & of that he puts him self upon the Part. Sam. Alexander & D-<sup>r</sup>.

And the pba says they are indebted as Exects all in Law. G: S: vols 1 to 24