

The question then recurred to the original proposed amendment as submitted by Mr. Griffith.

Mr. Wilkinson submitted the following proposed amendment to the proposed amendment:

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

Strike out all after the words "shall not apply to," and insert the following words: "any part of this State except Baltimore city, the counties of Anne Arundel and Cecil.

Mr. Frincke moved to re-commit said bill to the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Brennan demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was sustained.

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, Cole, Beeks, Frincke, Fockler, Garrott, Laird, Griffith, Haines, Sellman, Tracey, Haslup, Ellegood, Laws—14.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Combs, Garner, Strong, Wilson, Brashears, Donaldson, Hutchins, Sappington, of Anne Arundel, Ireland, Lane, Carrico, Orrick, Elliott, Hamilton, Jr., Collins, Wooters, Leonard, Green, Anderson, Sterling, Meredith, Smith, of Dorchester, Miles, Kirk, Grove, of Cecil, Bowie, of Prince George's, Hill, Pearrie, Bryan, Wallen, Franklin, Wilkinson, Hayman, McSherry, Gaver, Grove, of Frederick, Sappington, of Frederick, Bevard, Hayward, Stearns, Johnson, Fuld, Walz, Fitzgerald, Brennan, Fox, Carter, Bowie, of Baltimore city, O'Connor, Sams, Sanders, Carr, Cornthwaite, Barber, Buckey, Young, Hosken, Sr., Stottlemeyer, Berrett, Moore, Michaels—61.

So the motion to re-commit was rejected.

Mr. Miles moved the previous question on the proposed amendment to the amendment.

The question being,

Shall the main question be now put?

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question recurred on the proposed amendment to the amendment.

Mr. Brennan demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was sustained.