The question then recurred upon the motion to indefinitely postpone.

Mr. Fields moved as a substitute for the motion to indefinitely postpone, "that further consideration of said bill be postponed, and bill be made the order of the day for Tuesday, February 9th, at 12.30 P. M."

Upon which motion Mr. Anderson moved the previous question.

The question being, shall the main question be now put?

Mr. Carter demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was sustained.

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, Combs, Garner, Wilson, Donaldson, Hutchins, Sappington, of Anne Arundel, Carrico, Orrick, Cole, Elliott, Hamilion, Jr., Schlaffer, Collins, Wooters, Leonard, Anderson, Sterling, Meredith, Smith, of Dorchester, Miles, Beeks, Grove, of Cecil, Bowie, of Prince George's, Wallen, Godwin, Franklin, Hayman, McSherry, Gaver, Sappington, of Frederick, Bevard, Hayward, Deen, Johnson, Thompson, Brennan, Carter, Field, Bowie, of Balto. city, O'Conor, Gill, Carr, Cornthwaite, Joyce, Smith, of Washington, Fockler, Garrott, Laird, Griffith, Barber, Young, Hosken, Sr., Stottlemyer, Haines, Berret, Leeds, Tracey, Haslup, Bittinger—60.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Brashears, Monmonier, Hill, Perrie, Fitzgerald, Fox, Gallagher, Sams, Moore—9.

So the previous question was ordered.

The question then recurred on the original motion to indefinitely postpone.

Mr. Miles demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was sustained.

The yaes and nays were called and appeared a follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Hutchins, Orrick, Cole, Elliott, Hamilton, Jr., Schlaffer, Collins, Wooters, Leonard, Anderson, Sterling, Meredith Smith, of Dorchester, Miles, Grove, of Cecil, Wallen, Godwin, Franklin, Hayman, Deen, Johnson, Brennan, O'Conor,