

wealth of Baltimore, that a committee consisting of ten members be appointed to take into consideration such measures as have been presented before the present Maryland Legislature, supporting the best features of a new assessment law.

Which was read, and ordered spread upon the Journal.

Mr. Field submitted the following order, viz. :

Ordered, That the further consideration of the message to non-concur in the Senate amendments be made the special order for next Tuesday, at 1 o'clock, P. M.

Mr. Meredith moved the previous question.

The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question then being on the adoption of the order as submitted by Mr. Field,

Mr. Carter demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was sustained.

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, Elliott, Schlaffer, Leonard, Green, Bowie, of Prince George's, Hill, Godwin, McSherry, Bevard, Thompson, Brennan, Fox, Carter, Field, Bowie, of Balto. city, O'Connor, Gill, Sanders, Frincke, Carr, Cornthwaite, Joyce, Leeds, Moore—25.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Combs, Garner, Strong, Bra-shears, Donaldson, Hutchins, Sappington, of Anne Arundel, Lane, Carrico, Maddox, Orrick, Cole, Hamilton, Jr., Collins, Wooters, Anderson, Sterling, Meredith, Miles, Kirk, Grove, of Cecil, Perrie, Bryan, Wallen, Franklin, Wilkinson, Hayman, Grove, of Frederick, Johnson, Gallagher, Sams, Fockler, Shafer, Garrott, Laird, Griffith, Canby, Barber, Young, Hosken, Sr., Haines, Berret, Tracey, Haslup, Ellegood, Laws, Bittinger—47.

So the order was rejected.

The question then recurred on the message non concurring in Senate amendments in the assessment bill, as submitted by Mr. Berret.