

**123.** Where the person giving and the person to receive notice reside in different places, the notice must be given within the following times :

1. If sent by mail, it must be deposited in the postoffice in time to go by mail the day following the day of dishonor, or if there be no mail at a convenient hour on that day, by the next mail thereafter.

If given otherwise than through the postoffice, then within the time that notice would have been received in due course of mail, if it had been deposited in the postoffice within the time specified in the last sub-division.

**124.** Where notice of dishonor is duly addressed and deposited in the postoffice, the sender is deemed to have given due notice, notwithstanding any miscarriage in the mails.

**125.** Notice is deemed to have been deposited in the postoffice when deposited in any branch postoffice or any letter box under the control of the postoffice department.

**126.** Where a party receives notice of dishonor, he has, after the receipt of such notice, the same time for giving notice to antecedent parties that the holder has after the dishonor.

**127.** Where a party has added an address to his signature, notice of dishonor must be sent to that address ; but if he has not given such address, then the notice must be sent as follows :

1. Either to the postoffice nearest to his place of residence, or to the postoffice where he is accustomed to receive his letters ; or

2. If he live in one place, and have his place of business in another, notice may be sent to either place ; or

3. If he is sojourning in another place, notice may be sent to the place where he is so sojourning.

But where the notice is actually received by the party within the time specified in this article, it will be sufficient, though not sent in accordance with the requirements of this section.

**128.** Notice of dishonor may be waived, either before the time of giving notice has arrived, or after the omission to give due notice, and the waiver may be express or implied.

*Schwartz v. Wilmer*, 90 Md. 141.