93 Md. 94. Jones, Admr. v. Harbaugh, 93 Md. 284. Cummings v. Robinson, 95 Md. 87.

1888, art. 93, sec. 240. 1860, art. 93, sec. 240. 1831, ch. 315, sec 12

244. If, upon the answer to any petition or bill filed under the provisions of the two preceding sections, either party shall require it, the court shall cause an issue or issues to be made up and sent to the circuit court for the county, or the superior court of Baltimore city, the court of common pleas, or the Baltimore city court, as the case may be, to be there tried and disposed of as other issues from the orphans' court; and either party to such bill or petition may appeal to the circuit court for the county, or the superior court of Baltimore city.

Worthington v. Herron, 39 Md. 145 Abbott v. Golibart, 39 Md. 554. Hignutt v. Cranor, 62 Md. 216. Gibson v. Cook, 62 Md. 256. Linthicum v. Polk, 93 Md. 91 Cummings v. Robinson, 95 Md. 87.

Ibid. sec. 241. 1860, art. 93, sec. 241. 1816, ch. 203, sec. 4.

245. Whenever any joint administrator or executor shall apprehend that he is likely to suffer by the negligence or misconduct in the administration, improper use, or misapplication of the assets of the estate by any executor or administrator, he shall make complaint to the orphans' court, and if the same shall be adjudged well founded, the court shall have authority, in their discretion, to revoke the powers and authority of the executor or administrator so complained of, and to enforce by attachment and commitment, if necessary, the surrender and delivery to the remaining executor or administrator of the assets, and of all book accounts and evidences of debt of the estate that may be in the possession or control of the person so dismissed from the administration, and the remaining executors or administrators shall have remedy by an action on the case for the recovery of any loss or damage they may be subject to or suffer by the executor or administrator whose powers shall have been revoked as aforesaid.

Beall v. Hilliary, 1 Md. 197. Hesson v. Hesson, 14 Md. 8. Forney v. Shriner, 60 Md. 419. Whiting v. Whiting, 64 Md. 157. Macgill v McEvoy, 85 Md 289. Linthicum v. Polk, 93 Md. 94. Yakel v. Yakel, 96 Md. 244.

Ibid. sec. 242. 1860, art 93, sec. 242. 1831, ch. 315, sec. 6.

246. Whenever the orphans' court shall revoke letters testamentary or of administration or of guardianship, it shall be the duty of the party whose letters or guardianship may be revoked forthwith to render to such court an account of his administration or guardianship up to the period of the rendi-