witz, 62 Md. 183. Baldwin v State use of Hull, 89 Md. 601. Oesterla v. Gaither, 90 Md. 45.

1888, art 93, sec. 238. 1860, art. 93, sec. 238. 1831, ch. 315, sec. 12.

242. If an administrator shall believe that any person conceals any part of his decedent's estate he may file a petition in the orphans' court of the county in which he obtained administration, alleging such concealment, and the court shall compel an answer thereto on oath; and if satisfied upon an examination of the whole case that the party charged has concealed any part of the personal estate of the deceased, may order the delivery thereof to the administrator, and may enforce obedience to such order by attachment, imprisonment or sequestration of property.

Taylor v Bruseup, 27 Md. 219. Cannon v. Crook, 32 Md. 482. Worthington v. Herron, 39 Md. 145. Abbott v. Golibart, 39 Md. 554. Smith v. Stockbridge, 39 Md. 640. Hignutt v. Cranor, 62 Md. 216. Gibson v. Cook, 62 Md. 256. Macgill v. Hyatt, 80 Md. 256 Daugherty v. Daugherty, 82 Md. 231. Linthicum v. Polk, 93 Md. 91. Jones, Admr. v. Harbaugh, 93 Md. 284.

Ibid sec. 239. 1860, art. 93, sec. 239. 1831, ch. 315, sec. 13.

243. The provisions of the aforegoing section are extended to all cases where any person interested in any decedent's estate shall by bill or petition allege that the administrator has concealed, or has in his hands and has omitted to return in the inventory or lists of debts any part of his decedent's assets; and if the court shall finally adjudge and decree in favor of the allegations of such petition or bill, in whole or in part, they shall order an additional inventory, or list of debts, as the case may be, to be returned by the administrator, and appraisement to be made accordingly, to comprehend the assets omitted; and such additional inventory or list of debts shall have the same effect to all intents and purposes as any inventory or list of debts before returned; and the court may compel obedience to the said order by attachment and imprisonment and sequestration of property; and if the said administrator shall, either before or after such process of attachment, imprisonment and sequestration, fail to comply with such order, his letters of administration may be revoked, and the court may direct his bond to be put in suit; and the assets ordered to be comprised in such additional inventory or list of debts shall be decreed and taken to be within the condition of said bond.

Beall v Hillary, 1 Md. 197. Hesson v. Hesson, 14 Md. 8. Hignutt v. Cranor, 62 Md. 216. Whiting v. Whiting, 64 Md. 157. Linthicum v. Polk,